

CREDIT OPINION

11 November 2024



Contacts

Nathan Phelps +1.214.979.6853

Analyst

nathan.phelps@moodys.com

Adebola Kushimo +1.214.979.6847 Associate Managing Director adebola.kushimo@moodys.com

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas 1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077
Japan 81-3-5408-4100
EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

Clint Independent School District, TX

Update to credit analysis

Summary

Clint Independent School District, TX's (A1) credit profile reflects weak full value per capita of \$40,000 and below average adjusted resident income of 77% of the US. The enrollment trend is negative, but appears to be stabilizing at around 10,000 students in 2025. Despite these weaker attributes, the district has strong reserves that are well above A1 national peers, with an available fund balance of 56% of revenue at the end of fiscal 2023. Although the district is drawing down general fund reserves in fiscal years 2024 and 2025, the available fund balance ratio will remain above peers at around 40% of fiscal 2025 budgeted revenue. The leverage profile is manageable with long-term liabilities and fixed costs of 220% and 14% of revenue, respectively.

Credit strengths

- » Strong reserves compared to peers
- » Manageable leverage with no near term borrowing plans
- » Stabilizing enrollment
- » Increasing assessed value (AV)

Credit challenges

- » Weak resident income and full value per capita levels compared to peers
- » Available fund balance to decrease in fiscal 2024 and 2025

Rating outlook

We do not assign outlooks to local governments with this amount of debt outstanding.

Factors that could lead to an upgrade

» Materially strengthened adjust resident income and full value per capita that approaches 100% of the US and \$100,000 respectively

Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Fiscal 2024 or 2025 financial results that are materially lower than expected leading to a significant reduction of the available fund balance ratio below 25% of revenue
- » Weakened enrollment leading to a three-year enrollment trend that approaches -2%
- » Material increase in leverage and fixed costs

Key indicators

Exhibit 1
Clint Independent School District, TX

	2020	2021	2022	2023	A Medians
Economy		,			
Resident income	71.1%	72.2%	72.2%	N/A	94.2%
Full value (\$000)	\$1,490,415	\$1,549,546	\$1,802,689	\$2,020,902	\$1,256,674
Population	40,780	49,359	50,432	N/A	14,009
Full value per capita	\$36,548	\$31,393	\$35,745	N/A	\$89,557
Enrollment	11,222	10,663	10,494	10,365	1,854
Enrollment trend	-0.8%	-2.5%	-2.7%	-2.6%	-1.6%
Financial performance					
Operating revenue (\$000)	\$135,770	\$139,409	\$141,104	\$141,629	\$28,951
Available fund balance (\$000)	\$61,095	\$78,783	\$83,149	\$78,561	\$7,086
Net cash (\$000)	\$56,228	\$59,682	\$77,501	\$79,550	\$8,074
Available fund balance ratio	45.0%	56.5%	58.9%	55.5%	24.8%
Net cash ratio	41.4%	42.8%	54.9%	56.2%	28.3%
Leverage		,	,		
Debt (\$000)	\$178,033	\$181,452	\$173,881	\$165,580	\$22,102
ANPL (\$000)	\$128,863	\$133,937	\$117,705	\$146,314	\$60,828
OPEB (\$000)	\$38,087	\$30,204	\$26,872	\$25,628	\$3,836
Long-term liabilities ratio	254.1%	247.9%	225.7%	238.3%	374.3%
Implied debt service (\$000)	\$12,235	\$12,749	\$12,727	\$12,144	\$1,553
Pension tread water (\$000)	\$3,581	\$3,444	\$2,161	\$6,197	\$1,533
OPEB contributions (\$000)	\$644	\$803	\$808	\$802	\$143
Fixed-costs ratio	12.1%	12.2%	11.1%	13.5%	12.9%

For definitions of the metrics in the table above please refer to the <u>US K-12 Public School Districts Methodology</u> or see the Glossary in the Appendix below. Metrics represented as N/A indicate the data were not available at the time of publication. The medians come from our most recently published <u>K12 Median Report</u>.

Sources: US Census Bureau, Clint Independent School District, TX's financial statements and Moody's Ratings

Profile

Clint Independent School District is located in El Paso County, TX along the US-Mexico border. The district encompasses approximately 380 square miles and approximately 50,500 residents. In fiscal 2024, the district provided pre-K through 12th grade education to 10,260 students.

Detailed credit considerations

The district's tax base is expected to grow due to new single-family development. Assessed valuation (AV) has increased by an average of 6% annually over the last five years, reaching roughly \$2 billion in fiscal 2025. Despite this growth, full value per capita remains weak at around \$40,000. Site preparation of a 770-acre development is expected to begin next year, followed by construction of new homes on 900 lots the subsequent year. Adjusted resident income is below average at 77% of the US. Enrollment is stabilizing, with a three-year trend of -1.3%. Enrollment for the 2024-2025 school year is down about 1% due to charter school competition and increased home schooling.

The district's financial position remains strong, despite planned draws on the general fund balance for one-time capital outlay and ESSER fund spending in fiscal years 2024 and 2025. In 2024, the district used \$12 million for one-time capital and increased instructional expenses, reducing the available fund balance to \$63 million, or 44% of projected operating revenue. The 2025 budget reflects a \$22 million gap for one-time capital spending and ESSER initiatives, but actual expenditures are expected to be lower as not all budgeted projects will be completed within the year. Additionally, \$9 million of the shortfall will be spent over three years in \$3 million increments. Consequently, the operating fund balance is expected to decline by \$10 million to \$53 million, or 40% of budgeted operating revenue. If actual results in 2024 or 2025 are weaker than projected, leading to an available fund balance ratio of less than 25% of revenue, it will negatively impact the district's profile.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the issuer/deal page on https://ratings.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

The district's leverage is favorable compared to peers and is expected to remain so in the near term given no additional borrowing plans. The district has \$311 million of long-term liabilities, representing a manageable 220% of fiscal 2023 operating revenue. Long-term liabilities consist of \$139 million of debt (including unamortized bond premium), \$146 million of adjusted net pension liabilities (ANPL), and \$26 million of net adjusted other post-employment benefit liabilities. Fixed costs are manageable, representing 13.5% of operating revenue.

ESG considerations

Environmental

The local government sector generally has low exposure to environmental risks. The district's exposure to rising environmental risks is moderate compared to peer school districts nationwide. The district has high exposure to water stress and medium exposure to heat stress and wildfires. However, the district will benefit from longer term planning by the city of El Paso and surrounding communities for water supply issues.

Social

Social considerations are material to most school district ratings, with factors such as demographics, labor force, income and education influencing the district's economy, demographic, financial and leverage trends. The district's income levels and property wealth are weak and weigh on the credit profile. The unemployment rate in El Paso County was 4.7% in August, which is slightly above the state (4.4%) and nation (4.4%) for the same period.

Governance

Governance is an important consideration for all municipal credits. The district has been budgeting conservatively in the past and is evaluating capital needs on a long-term basis. Texas school districts have an Institutional Framework score ¹ of Aa. The state controls school district revenue through an equalized funding formula consisting of a combination of local property taxes and state aid. The state allows for regular and predictable increases to total funding for school districts. Property tax revenue growth is capped at 2.5% annually, but school districts can approach voters for mill levy overrides that can generate meaningful additional locally determined operating revenue.

Rating methodology and scorecard factors

The US K-12 Public School Districts Methodology includes a scorecard, a tool providing a composite score of a school district's credit profile based on the weighted factors we consider most important, universal and measurable, as well as possible notching factors dependent on individual credit strengths and weaknesses. Its purpose is not to determine the final rating, but rather to provide a standard platform from which to analyze and compare school district credits.

Exhibit 2
Clint Independent School District, TX

	Measure	Weight	Score
Economy			
Resident Income (MHI Adjusted for RPP / US MHI)	72.2%	10.0%	Baa
Full value per capita (full valuation of the tax base / population)	38,936	10.0%	Ва
Enrollment trend (three-year CAGR in enrollment)	-1.3%	10.0%	Α
Financial performance			
Available fund balance ratio (available fund balance / operating revenue)	55.5%	20.0%	Aaa
Net cash ratio (net cash / operating revenue)	56.2%	10.0%	Aaa
Institutional framework			
Institutional Framework	Aa	10.0%	Aa
Leverage			
Long-term liabilities ratio ((debt + ANPL + adjusted net OPEB) / operating revenue)	219.6%	20.0%	Aa
Fixed-costs ratio (adjusted fixed costs / operating revenue)	13.5%	10.0%	Aaa
Notching factors			
No notchings applied			
Scorecard-Indicated Outcome			Aa3
Assigned Rating			A1

The complete list of outstanding ratings assigned to the Clint Independent School District, TX is available on their <u>issuer page</u>. Details on the current ESG scores assigned to the Clint Independent School District, TX are available on their <u>ESGView page</u>.

 $Sources: US\ Census\ Bureau,\ Clint\ Independent\ School\ District,\ TX's\ financial\ statements\ and\ Moody's\ Ratings$

Appendix

Exhibit 3 **Key Indicators Glossary**

	Definition	Typical Source*
Economy		
Resident income	Median Household Income (MHI), adjusted for Regional Price Parity (RPP), as a % of the US	MHI: American Community Survey (US Census Bureau)
		RPP: US Bureau of Economic Analysis
Full value (\$000)	Estimated market value of taxable property accessible to the district	State repositories, district's audited financial reports, offering documents or continuing disclosure
Population	Population of school district	American Community Survey (US Census Bureau)
Full value per capita	Full value / population of school district	·
Enrollment	Student enrollment of school district	State data publications
Enrollment trend	3-year Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) of Enrollment	State data publications; Moody's Ratings
Financial performance		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Operating revenue (\$000)	Total annual operating revenue in what we consider to be the district's operating funds	Audited financial statements
Available fund balance (\$000)	Committed, assigned and unassigned fund balances in what we consider to be the district's operating funds	Audited financial statements
Net cash (\$000)	Net cash (cash and liquid investments minus short-term debt) in what we consider to be the district's operating funds	Audited financial statements
Available fund balance ratio	Available fund balance / Operating Revenue	Audited financial statements
Net cash ratio	Net Cash / Operating Revenue	Audited financial statements
Leverage		
Debt (\$000)	District's direct gross debt outstanding	Audited financial statements; official statements
ANPL (\$000)	District's pension liabilities adjusted by Moody's to standardize the	Audited financial statements; Moody's
	discount rate used to compute the present value of accrued benefits	
OPEB (\$000)	District's net other post-employment benefit (OPEB) liabilities adjusted by Moody's to standardize the discount rate used to compute the present value of accrued benefits	Audited financial statements; Moody's Ratings
Long-term liabilities ratio	Debt, ANPL and OPEB liabilities as % of operating revenue	Audited financial statements, official statements; Moody's Ratings
Implied debt service (\$000)	Annual cost to amortize district's long-term debt over 20 years with level payments	
Pension tread water (\$000)	Pension contribution necessary to prevent reported unfunded pension liabilities from growing, year over year, in nominal dollars, if all actuarial assumptions are met	Audited financial statements; Moody's Ratings
OPEB contributions (\$000s)	District's actual contribution in a given period, typically the fiscal year	rAudited financial statements; official statements
Fixed-costs ratio	Implied debt service, pension tread water and OPEB contributions as % of operating revenue	Audited financial statements, official statements, pension system financial statements

^{*}Note: If typical data source is not available then alternative sources or proxy data may be considered. For more detailed definitions of the metrics listed above please refer to the <u>US K-12 Public School Districts Methodology.</u>

Source: Moody's Ratings

Endnotes

1 The institutional framework score categorically assesses whether a district has the legal ability to raise the bulk of its operating revenue at the local level or if the state determines the bulk of its operating revenue. Beyond the local versus state categorization, the strength of the institutional framework score is a measure of the district's flexibility in raising additional locally determined operating revenue. See <u>US K-12 Public School Districts Methodology</u> for more details.

© 2024 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved. CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE THEIR CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED OR OTHERWISE MADE AVAILABLE BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "MATERIALS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE APPLICABLE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S MATERIALS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S MATERIALS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES OR OTHERWISE MAKES AVAILABLE ITS MATERIALS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND MATERIALS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR MATERIALS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. FOR CLARITY, NO INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN MAY BE USED TO DEVELOP, IMPROVE, TRAIN OR RETRAIN ANY SOFTWARE PROGRAM OR DATABASE, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, FOR ANY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, MACHINE LEARNING OR NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING SOFTWARE, ALGORITHM, METHODOLOGY AND/OR MODEL.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the credit rating process or in preparing its Materials.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it. MCO and Moody's Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Charter Documents - Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy"

Moody's SF Japan K.K., Moody's Local AR Agente de Calificación de Riesgo S.A., Moody's Local BR Agência de Classificação de Risco LTDA, Moody's Local MX S.A. de C.V, I.C.V., Moody's Local PE Clasificadora de Riesgo S.A., and Moody's Local PA Calificadora de Riesgo S.A. (collectively, the "Moody's Non-NRSRO CRAs") are all indirectly wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiaries of MCO. None of the Moody's Non-NRSRO CRAs is a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization.

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for India only: Moody's credit ratings, Assessments, other opinions and Materials are not intended to be and shall not be relied upon or used by any users located in India in relation to securities listed or proposed to be listed on Indian stock exchanges.

Additional terms with respect to Second Party Opinions (as defined in Moody's Investors Service Rating Symbols and Definitions): Please note that a Second Party Opinion ("SPO") is not a "credit rating". The issuance of SPOs is not a regulated activity in many jurisdictions, including Singapore. JAPAN: In Japan, development and provision of SPOs fall under the category of "Ancillary Businesses", not "Credit Rating Business", and are not subject to the regulations applicable to "Credit Rating Business" under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan and its relevant regulation. PRC: Any SPO: (1) does not constitute a PRC Green Bond Assessment as defined under any relevant PRC laws or regulations; (2) cannot be included in any registration statement, offering circular, prospectus or any other documents submitted to the PRC regulatory authorities or otherwise used to satisfy any PRC regulatory disclosure requirement; and (3) cannot be used within the PRC for any regulatory purpose or for any other purpose which is not permitted under relevant PRC laws or regulations. For the purposes of this disclaimer, "PRC" refers to the mainland of the People's Republic of China, excluding Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan.

REPORT NUMBER 1427351

CLIENT SERVICES

 Americas
 1-212-553-1653

 Asia Pacific
 852-3551-3077

 Japan
 81-3-5408-4100

 EMEA
 44-20-7772-5454